

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/590,919	06/09/2000	Joseph W. Fikes	04026.0013	3171	
75	08/14/2002		(A	_	
Sumner C Rosenberg Esq			EXAMINER		
Needle & Rosenberg PC Suite 1200 The Candler Building 127 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303-1811			PHAM, HOA Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2877		
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ì		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/590,919	FIKES ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply			address		
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe reply within the statutory mini riod will apply and will expire S atute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered tir IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nely. s communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fir	nal.			
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims			the merits is		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considera	tion.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-23 is/are rejected.					
7) 🖾	Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirer	nent.			
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) Dobjecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b) disapproved by the Exam	iner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, ,	on.			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	al Stage		
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applicatio	n has been received.			
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🗍	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Notice of Informal Patent Application (P Other:			
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part	of Paper No. 3		



Application/Control Number: 09/590,919

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 14-15, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Purcell (4,936,683).

Regarding claims 1 and 21-23, Purcell discloses an optical tablet construction comprises an elongated retro-reflective member (72), a first light source (17), a second light source (18), a first light sensor (19), a second light sensor (20) and a processor (44), for determining the position of target (10). See figures 4 and 6.

Regarding claim 2, see figure 6 for the first and second reflectors (71, 72).

Application/Control Number: 09/590,919

Art Unit: 2877

j

Regarding claim 9, a frame (11) is used for mounting the first light source, second light source, first sensor, second sensor, and retro-reflective members. See figures 1 and 3.

Regarding claim 10, since the target is a cursor, thus the mouse is a holder.

Regarding claims 11-12, see abstract for linear image sensor and figure 4 for microprocessor.

Regarding claim 14, see column 7, lines 27-31 for visible or infrared light.

Regarding claim 15, see column 6, line 51, for CCD camera.

3. Claims 1, 2, 9-12, 14-15, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bures (5,220,409).

Regarding claims 1 and 21-23, Bures discloses an apparatus and method for determining the position of an object comprises an elongated retro-reflective member (30, 44), a first light source (F.O.X), a second light source (F.O.Y), a first light sensor (X10L, X20R, etc...), a second light sensor (Y20L, Y20R, etc...) and a processor (not shown), for determining the position of target (S). See figures 2 and 6.

Regarding claim 2, see figures 2 and 6 for the first and second reflectors (30, 34, 44).

Regarding claim 9, it is inherent that a frame is used for mounting the first light source, second light source, first sensor, second sensor, and retro-reflective members.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 14-15, see column 8, lines 22-24 for light source and CCD camera.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,919

Art Unit: 2877

)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5, 13, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purcell or Bures in view of Butts (5,871,215).

Regarding claims 3-5, Purcell and Bures do not explicitly teach that the retroreflective member comprises a plurality of corner reflectors, retro-reflective tape, or a
plurality of glass beads. However, such a feature is known in the art, for example, as
taught by Butts. Butts, from the same field of endeavor, teaches a plurality of corner
cube reflectors (52) can be used as a retro-reflective member (column 6 lines 4-17).

Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the retroreflective member of Purcell or Bures by a plurality of corner cube reflectors taught by
Butts or a plurality of glass beads or retro-reflective tape as now claimed by the present
invention. The rationale for this modification would have arisen from the fact that it does
not matter what types of reflectors the device would function in the same manner. A
substitution for each other is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary
skill in the art.

Regarding claims 13 and 16-20, Purcell and Bures teach that the light source is a lamp array and within the visible or infrared range. Those of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the light source of Purcell or Bures by an



Art Unit: 2877

incandescent lamp and a curved mirror for reflecting light because they are function in the same manner.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tsikos (4,507,557) and Schwarz (5,789,739) disclose an apparatus for determining the position of an object.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.



Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa^{*}Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP August 9, 2002